. 1	BEFORE THE	
	POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION	
2	WASHINGTON DC 20268-0001	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	FLAGSTAFF FIELD HEARING	
	ON UNIVERSAL POSTAL SERVICE	
10	AND THE POSTAL MONOPOLY	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	Flagstaff City Hall	
	Flagstaff, Arizona	
17	May 21, 2008	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
	Docket No. PI-2008-3	
24		
25		

i

* * * *	
1:56 o'clock p.m.	
* * * *	
CHAIRMAN BLAIR: We'll go ahead and get	
started. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Dan Blair,	
Chairman of the Postal Regulatory Commission. On	
ehalf of my fellow Commissioners, I want to welcome	
the witnesses here today. It's a pleasure to be here	
in Flagstaff to kick off the first of three field	
nearings on our study of the universal service	
application and the postal monopolies.	
Before I begin my prepared remarks, I	
would like to tell everyone about a visit that we made	
yesterday to a very unique post office in Jerome,	
Arizona. For those who may be unfamiliar with Jerome,	
it sits high atop Cleopatra Hill between Flagstaff and	
rescott. In the 1880s, Jerome was one of the leading	
cities of Arizona and a very popular mining site.	
Today, however, Jerome is known to many	
as Arizona's most famous ghost town, and yet it still	
has its post office which was established in 1883 and	
has never been discontinued.	
Commissioner Mark Acton and I had the	
good fortune to meet with Jerome's postmaster, Vicki	

Sommers, and the manager of postal operations for the

25

area land extension. Those meetings were very good and drove home to us the value and uniqueness of our universal service obligation here in the United States. The Jerome Post Office clearly demonstrates the Postal Service's expansive reach into vast rural areas of our country and relates directly to the Commission's reason for coming to Flagstaff.

In late 2006, President Bush signed into law the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act.

Among other things, the Act required the Commission to undertake a study on universal service, postal service, and the postal monopoly in the United States, including monopoly on the delivery of mail and on access to mailboxes. Universal postal service, also referred to as the universal service obligation, is mandated by law and defines the minimum mail service to which each citizen is entitled.

Generally, the USO incorporates six features; access to retail services and delivery, frequency of delivery, quality of service, affordability, geographic scope and range of mail products offered. Because providing a minimum level of service to every citizen may not be profitable under certain conditions, a USO is generally financed by granting exclusive rights to the postal

administration to provide selective services such as a postal monopoly.

Over the last 10 years, many countries, mostly in Europe, have begun to reduce the postal monopoly, although at the same time ensuring some minimal level of service for each citizen. It is within this context that Congress has mandated the study.

The testimony provided by our witnesses today will help educate and inform the Commissions as we continue our work on this report. Our final report is due in December, and it would be an understatement to characterize this report as critical to the future of the Postal Service and stakeholders, since Congress may act on any recommendations we include in the report.

I sincerely appreciate the witnesses' willingness to travel to Flagstaff and add to the Commission's record on this important issue.

I would like to introduce our witnesses. Our first panel is comprised of Merle Baranczyk, who publishes the Mountain Mail, the community newspaper for Salida, Colorado, and the Upper Arkansas Valley. Our second witness is Don Rowley, publisher of the Arizona Daily Sun here in Flagstaff. Mr. Rowley and

Jeremy Alexander were good hosts this morning in showing the Commissioners their operations at the Arizona Daily Sun.

Stephanie Lehrdahl joins the panel as a 32-year rural letter carrier from Albuquerque with a route in Taylor Ranch, New Mexico, and Larry James completes the first panel and serves as the Arizona district manager for the Postal Service.

Our second panel of witnesses includes
Cameron Powell, vice president for strategic
development at Earth Class Mail of Seattle,
Washington; Jan Pritchard, publisher of the Flute
Network based in San Bernardino, California, and
Matthew Panos, vice president for Ministry of
Partnerships and Resources and the non-profit group
Food for the Hungry which is based in Phoenix.

Your written statements will be made part of the Commission's record, and a transcript of today's hearings will be made available on the Commission's website.

Before I conclude, I would like to extend the Commission's thanks to the City of Flagstaff and particularly Stacy Salzberg with the Public Works Office for providing us this very nice hearing room.

So at this time I would like to yield to my fellow Commissioners for an opportunity to say a few words in welcoming the witnesses, as well.

Mr. Vice Chairman?

again.

COMMISSIONER ACTON: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. I have nothing to add, except to thank
all the witnesses for joining us here today. Your
testimony is an important contribution towards the
study and we look forward to hearing it. Thanks

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Ms. Goldway?

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: I'm delighted to be here in what feels like somewhat familiar territory. As the former mayor of Santa Monica, I spent many years at a podium very much like this in a meeting room very much like this, and the experience I had there in local community participation is something that I've carried with me throughout my career. I think the opportunity for all of us to meet in these settings where individuals can present to us their ideas and their experiences is really an important part of what we do, and I'm very pleased that Chairman Blair has initiated this program of field hearings first last year, and now this year.

Performance Reporters (928) 213-1040

Thank you all for attending, and we'll

1 pay very careful attention to everything that you say. 2 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Commissioner 3 Goldway. 4 Commissioner Hammond? 5 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Thank you, 6 Mr. Chairman. I'll keep this short, because I know 7 we're under time constraints today, but I do consider 8 what we're starting to do now to be one of the most 9 important things that the Postal Regulatory Commission 10 is going to do this year. The universal service 11 obligation, and then the monopoly area are very 12 important, and I do thank you all that are going to 13 appear before us for taking time to be here and I look 14 forward to learning a lot from you. 15 And I always think, like Commissioner 16 Goldway said, that we really learn more as we're 17 traveling around the country and holding things like 18 this, than we are sitting in Washington, D.C. 19 really appreciate you all being here today and I thank 20 the Chairman for having these field hearings. 21 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: I feel like I'm at home 22 with the train going by. So with that, I would like 23

24

25

are on the universal service obligation, what the postal monopoly should be, and what they are, and where our postal service should be going over the course of the next 5, 10, 15, 20 years.

2.5

This study is extremely important, and the Commission takes its responsibilities very seriously, and because of this seriousness, we wanted you to testify.

So with that, I'll start out with Mr. Rowley. Would you please give us your testimony, and we appreciate it. I'll include your entire statement for the record, but if you want to summarize it, we'd appreciate it.

MR. ROWLEY: Thank you. Good afternoon, and for those of you from out of town, welcome to Flagstaff. As has been stated, I'm Don Rowley, publisher of the Arizona Daily Sun, one of more than 50 daily newspapers across the nation owned by Lee Enterprises. I would like to mention, with me today is Jeremy Alexander, the Daily Sun's circulation director.

Some quick background, the Daily Sun has a circulation of over 11,000 on weekdays and Saturdays, with Sunday circulation of over 12,000 homes. Most of our circulation is in and around

1 | Flagstaff.

We also publish mid-week by mail a weekly product mailed to about 18,000 non-subscriber homes. The Daily Sun also owns and operates Direct Impression Mail Services, a full service direct mail company that prepares over three million pieces a year.

Let me preface my testimony by saying that the views I will express here today are mostly from the perspective of the newspaper industry in general, rather than the limited perspective of one newspaper in one market.

For newspapers, universal service includes both delivery and access components. As for delivery, universal service means the reliable and predictable service on which we've come to rely. As for access, it means having access to the same postal facilities, rates and services as our advertising mail competitors. Both of these components of universal service are, as we understand it, now in jeopardy by new proposed postal restrictions in connection with the flats sequencing system.

As for the delivery component, like most newspapers, we use first class mail to invoice our subscribers and advertisers and receive much more

revenue in the mail. Physical delivery of statements, invoices and payments, is likely to remain an important -- likely to remain important for years to come and we would advocate that they remain part of the universal service.

Second, while mail subscribers to the Daily Sun comprise a relatively small segment of our circulation, many newspapers, especially community papers and non-dailies, continue to depend on periodicals mail for circulation delivery. We believe universal service should continue to include newspaper delivery on a timely basis.

Third, in today's economy, we expect advertising mail to be part of the Postal Service's universal service obligation. According to the Newspaper Association of America, daily newspapers spent nearly 786 million on standard mail in 2006, up 10 percent from 2004. In fact, with ad mail, first and standard combined, now a majority of the mainstream, clearly it is expected that the Postal Services's product line included. But as noted a moment ago, for newspapers, universal service doesn't simply mean delivery. It also includes access to postal facilities and services.

From our perspective, this means being

able to enter our mail where we can get reliable delivery at the best price. If the Postal Service curtails access to the post offices that work best for mailers, it will compromise, in our opinion, universal service.

That leads me to flats sequencing system. As you know, daily newspapers mostly use standard mail for delivery of our total market coverage or TMC products, which provide advertisers with total coverage by combining carrier delivery of the advertising preprints to our newspaper subscribers with standard mail delivery to non-subscriber households.

The non-subscriber TMC products are mailed at standard enhanced carrier route rates. Depending on a newspaper subscription density on a particular route, they usually qualify for high density rates. But nationwide, about one-third of TMC mailings qualify for saturation rates on routes where there are fewer newspaper subscribers.

To meet advertisers' demand for timely delivery that corresponds with in-store sale dates, newspapers currently enter about half of our TMC mail at destination delivery units or local post offices, working closely with local postmasters to meet

critical entry times and achieve timely delivery.

It is our understanding that the Postal Service now wants to change this practice by prohibiting TMC programs from entering high density flats and DDUs served by the sectional center facilities, and funneling them instead to the SCFs.

However, our saturation mail competitors would not face the same prohibition. Saturation mail could still be entered, as we understand it, at the local delivery units where they receive the best service and the lowest rates.

The problems with forcing us to enter our TMC density flats at FSS-equipped SCFs instead of the DDUs, illustrates why access is, in our opinion, a key part in universal service. First, as an industry, it is estimated it would basically double our transportation costs by forcing us to split these mailings and send them separately to SFS facilities for high density and to delivery units for saturation.

Second, to meet the new entry requirements, many newspapers will have to modify production schedules and execute split press runs to prepare high density and saturation mailings for different dispatch times with the company increased costs.

Third, our postage costs would increase because the SCF discounts are smaller than DDU discounts. Our saturation mail competitors would have both 20 percent rate advantage and a service quality advantage because they will be exempt from FSS processing, as we understand it.

Fourth, service would decline. The USPS's own service standards provide a two to three-day delivery window for mail entered at SCFs, compared to a one to two-day window for mailing at DDUs. Thus, SCF entry for FSS processing would likely result in delayed delivery, and if advertisers perceive our service to be unpredictable, they will take their business elsewhere.

In our view, the decision is simple. The Postal Service should continue to allow newspapers to enter TMC high density flats at delivery units for carrier delivery, allowing newspapers to choose the mailing options that work best for them. This would also preserve a level playing field with our saturation mail competitors.

Daily newspapers generally have a good working relationship with the U.S. Postal Service, both at a national and local level, but as service declines and our costs go up as a result of FSS, we

will have no option but to explore alternative means of delivery, and as you know, newspapers are already the delivery business, so we have more realistic options than most other businesses.

Thanks for this opportunity to testify, and I would be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Mr. Rowley, thank you.

Mr. James, we had the opportunity to meet with a couple of your employees yesterday, and you have a great job, and congratulations on your years of public service. So before you testify, I just wanted to say that on behalf of the Commission we look forward to hearing from you.

MR. JAMES: You have good employees. Vicki and Jill are very good, and I was happy to visit Jerome. Just a tidbit, Kayenta is where it's been mentioned one person is from with the code talkers, where the Navajos came from. So it's actually on the Reservation and is a very unique community with a lot of history.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: That's why we chose

Flagstaff. When you talk about universal service, you
think of reaching the most remote parts of the United

States, and the bottom of the Grand Canyon is one of
them. So I want to hear from you about that.

MR. JAMES: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners and ladies and gentlemen. My name is Lawrence James. I am a district manager for the United States Postal Service, Arizona District. I want to welcome you to Flagstaff and thank you for giving me the opportunity to be here with you today.

As lead manager for the Arizona

District, I receive all mail processing and

distribution operation for the entire state, except

for a small portion in the northeast corner of the

state which is serviced out of Albuquerque District.

Over 11,600 postal employees serve our customers in the Arizona District, bringing mail to 2.6 million speedy delivery points six days a week, and more than 400,000 post office boxes, and the number of deliveries grows each year despite the decline in mail buying.

Over the last year, the Arizona District added 60,000 new deliveries. As you may know, Arizona is the second fastest growing state in the union in terms of population. We are climbing to over 6.1 million residents. We are serving Arizona's growing population in better ways, ways that the customers have embraced so enthusiastically that Arizona is the number one district in the nation in terms of

alternate retail access with 23 percent of Arizona District's total retail revenue coming from sales points other than traditional post offices.

These include online postage, stamps available at USPS.com, automated postal services inside the post office lobbies, ATM machines, Stamps on Consignment at supermarkets and other retailers, Stamps by Phone, and contract postal units called CPUs.

We are especially successful in terms of CPUs, with over 140 currently operating businesses throughout Arizona offering customers convenient access to service at the same prices they pay at the regular post office. We are continuing to partner with small business owners to host three postal services, and expect to add ten more CPUs by the end of this year.

We get the mail delivered to a diverse range of customers, from the citizens of the nation's fifth largest city in Phoenix, to the Havasupai Tribe members living in the bottom of the Grand Canyon, who receive virtually everything they need to live, including groceries and furniture. Actually, in Peach Springs, they have a freezer there, a refrigerator, to keep the product cold before it goes down via mule

train five days a week.

Many residents of Sun City are served by letter carriers using the environmentally sustainable practice of bicycles, and we do an excellent job of getting the mail delivered to all our customers in a timely fashion, and we're at -- all of Arizona's service measurement categories have shown improvement, and Arizona's First Class Mail delivery score is at 96 percent. I'm very proud of the employees who make this excellent service happen every day.

The core of the Postal Service's mission is to provide trusted, affordable, universal service. Changes to the universal service obligation could affect access and delivery. Access includes channels such as collection boxes, post offices, and the alternate access points I mentioned earlier.

The Postal Service's ability to fund these universal service obligations is provided in large part by the postal monopoly on letters and on the mailbox. Potential changes to the postal monopoly could affect the Postal Service's ability to provide customers with universal service, the affordable and uniform prices. Changes in the Postal Service monopoly would raise some additional potential concerns.

For example, open access to customers' mailboxes beyond the Postal Service would potentially impact service to the customer. Such a change could also affect the Postal Service's ability to continue its excellent record of serving the public in a safe and secure environment.

Obviously, our business is all about connecting people, and my 36-year career has been built upon doing what is right for people, both our customers and our employees. Just as our communities we serve are diverse, so too is our workforce. I am most proud of the multiple diversity awards I received over the years, culminating in the national diversity award I received a few years ago.

Our success here and across the country is a result of our dedicated employees providing the best service they can and the Postal Service being a wonderful place to work. Again, thank you for the time today and for visiting us here in beautiful Arizona, and I'll be happy to take any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Mr. James.

Ms. Lehrdahl, thank you for coming so far from Albuquerque. It's interesting that one of the most important aspects of the USPS is being the

face of the Federal Government to many rural communities. For many people in isolated areas and in rural America, the only contact they have with the Federal Government is through the Postal Service. So as a rural letter carrier, you're providing that face of the United States Government, so we really appreciate you coming in today, happy to hear from you.

MS. LEHRDAHL: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen. I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to provide comments to the report on the universal service obligation and the postal monopoly. My name is Stephanie Lehrdahl. I have been a rural letter carrier for 32 years. My route is 20 miles and I have 604 boxes in Taylor Ranch, New Mexico. I carry my route six days a week, every other week, and do union business on a leave-without-pay basis.

I was part of a team of rural carrier leaders that lobbied in favor of passage of the Postal Reform Act. We made a big mistake. We did not concentrate on the due date of the studies.

I would like to give you a picture of the rural mail delivery in New Mexico. We are a state composed of three predominant urban areas and many smaller cities, villages and Native American pueblos. Our shortest route in New Mexico is eight miles. Our longest route is 155 miles.

All rural customers in New Mexico receive the same service, collection and delivery six days a week. Rural carriers are a post office on wheels, providing all the services in any post office to all our customers every delivery day. I will sell you stamps, mail your packages, and bring you all your mailing supplies. We deliver Express Mail and Express parcels on Saturday, Sundays and holidays.

The majority of economists and Americans believe we are now in a recession. Rural letter carriers are severely affected by this downturn. Rural carriers are paid using an evaluated system based on the number of boxes, stops, mileage, and mail piece count. Measurements are taken annually to evaluate our rate of pay.

Because of the sagging economy, the average rural carrier nationwide lost between two and twelve hours per week during the last mail count. Each hour is worth approximately \$1500 in annual salary. My route lost four hours per week, as my husband's route also lost four hours. So the Lehrdahl household lost \$12,000 in annual income because of the economic downturn.

The majority of the rural craft is made up of women. In New Mexico, many of these women are the sole bread winners for their family. This loss of income hits the families especially hard. Although the United States Postal Service shows a decline in revenue, rural carriers nationwide are sharing the pain on a personal basis.

I would like to offer a caution about your study. Please do not utilize Europe or developing countries as a model for your conclusions. The United States Postal Service in the USA is not comparable because of the volume, geography, affordability, no labor problems. Our USO is six-day delivery everywhere. We operate on strictly postal revenue.

The United Postal Service and the U.S. mailing industry are businesses that are very sensitive to the economy. We are now in a recession and it is affecting the combined industry. The 10-year reevaluation is probably a good period to judge the new regulatory scheme. The current data available is from the old rate making process, so any conclusions offered will be -- will only be intuitive, not quantitative.

I believe that the universal service

obligation in the U.S. is a result of collaboration between the United States Postal Service and our partners. These partners are in some cases both our competitors and business partners. DHL, FedEx and UPS drop parcels off at individual post offices throughout New Mexico. They help us transport and process our postal products, and we help them deliver the last mile.

As a result, Americans receive the best collection and delivery services in the world regardless of where they live. I urge extreme caution in applying academic theories to change the best system in the world.

Identity theft and security are huge problems. An FTC study shows that only two percent of identity thefts occurred through the Postal Service. Again, this year's surveys showed that 86 percent of citizens rank the United States Postal Service as the most trusted government agency.

Because of this trust, the two carrier unions have agreed with the White House and the Department of Homeland Security to deliver medicine in the event of catastrophe. My customers depend on me to maintain the security and the sanctity of the mail. Letter carriers are a constant in the lives of almost

They can depend on us every day. 1 every New Mexican. Let's discuss volume and delivery days. 2 3 Fewer delivery days would substantially delay the The result would be mailers would have to 4 mail. readjust their delivery dates of mail to be delivered. 5 You have a very complex assignment. 6 have been asked to define the universal service 7 8 obligation monopoly, after Congress chose not to do 9 it. You have data, but not under the new process. 10 Most of the U.S. industries that were monopolies are now deregulated. The European Union is 11 commercializing their posts. In spite of those facts, 12 the U.S. has the most sophisticated and least 13 expensive mail and parcel delivery network in the 14 We believe that the monopoly in mail and our 15 competitive partnerships allow a universal service 16 obligation that is unique in the world. Please be 17 extremely cautious in proposing change to the world's 18 19 most efficient and effective system. Thank you for allowing me to testify 20 representing the nation's 120,000 rural letter carrier 21 I would be glad to answer any questions you 22 members. 23 may have. It's a pleasure to hear 24 CHAIRMAN BLAIR:

from you, ma'am, and I would be remiss in not

25

acknowledging one of the wise men in the postal reform movement in the audience today, Ken Parmalee, who has ably represented the rural letter carriers for a number of years. So, Mr. Parmalee, welcome and, Ms. Lehrdahl, thank you for your testimony.

Our final witness on this panel is Merle

Our final witness on this panel is Merle
Baranczyk who the publisher of the Mountain Mail in -is it Saleeda or Salida?

MR. BARANCZYK: Salida.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Salida. Excuse my pronunciation. Salida, Colorado, and as we talked earlier, it's in the Upper Arkansas, as opposed to Arkansas Valley. So we look forward to hearing from you today. Thank you for coming in.

MR. BARANCZYK: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. I am Merle Baranczyk,
the director of the National Newspaper Association and
a publisher of a daily newspaper and four weekly
newspapers in and around Salida, Colorado. I appear
here on behalf of NNA, which represents community
newspapers before the commission. I have submitted a
written statement for the record.

NNA believes this discussion is timely. With rising fuel costs and changes to the economy, examining universal service before -- examining

universal service is appropriate. I would address two main points in my testimony.

2.2

One, service that is neither affordable, nor reliable, is no service at all. Therefore, the concept of universal service must assume that USPS can achieve both affordability and reliability.

Two, the mailbox may be truly the Postal Services's only monopoly in this age where electronic transmission is wholesome and robust, but it cannot be guarded at the expense of depriving any community of the opportunity for service. Some licensing of service providers to rural and hard-to-serve areas may well be required in the future.

Let me quickly go through some of the concerns of NNA that address affordability and reliable service. In my written statement, I have laid out a number of the developments in the periodicals mail that have put meaningful service out of the reach of some of our readers.

The commission, I know, is familiar with many of NNA's concerns, including its difficulties with the complex rate schedule created in the `07 rate case. Many of my publishing colleagues have expressed mystification about a number of recent postal policies.

I think the trend we have seen in recent years is inconsistent with the concept of universal service, and I fear acceleration of that will lead to an end of that reliance. We are not sure that people in big cities understand that to much of America, their major city is one of 20,000 to 30,000 people, and the local newspaper and the merchants there form a commercial zone that reaches even smaller towns.

To us, a small town is that burg of 1000 or 2000 people who are tied together by a local church, farming community, or a school. We in the big cities of rural Colorado need to reach out to a wide swath of people who are in the truly small towns that struggle for survival.

For example, my hometown of Salida is a thriving community. We serve a market area that includes Buena Vista, Leadville, Bailey and other small towns. Our service is critical to those towns, but postal changes make it increasingly tough to provide that service.

Our newspapers do actually and actively publish on the web, but the printed product is still our primary product. It is the one most of our readers want, and the website fits a different purpose, for quick reference, or for out of towners

looking for homes to buy. Both readers and advertisers still want print, and our mail is the major account for our local post offices which is an important part of the community.

We believe universal service means keeping us in our towns and the postal patrons in them in the same important position that you are thinking as those in big cities.

Now we are looking at the dawning of the Flats Sequencing System. Most of NNA's newspaper members are thus far outside the zones for FSS, thankfully, but as a postal committee member, I seem to be seeing every part of your lists of the Zip Codes are being swept into these FSS centers.

Our members are going to be affected to some degree as these zones get broader. We are terrified of losing the ability to enter mail in our local post offices and having to transport it to these FSS plants. Poorer service, loss of discounts, most importantly earlier times — earlier entry times will cause major complex shifts in printing schedules, advertising closings, news deadlines, and so forth, and will make us less competitive in many markets.

We have asked the Postal Service to permit us to continue delivery unit entry, and so far,

we have been refused that assurance. A loss of DU entry could set up a new wave of alternative delivery systems. If we cannot count on the USPS to deliver affordably and on time and to keep us competitive, we have no service.

This leads me to my second point. I fear that as fuel costs rise and the Postal Service tries to shave other expenses, we will see a decline in service to rural areas. In many ways, we have already seen that, as I detailed in my testimony, but the day may come with a town the size of Buena Vista cannot get six-day service, and, indeed, that may even come to Salida.

If and when it does, smaller communities like ours must have the option of sending their own homegrown carriers into Denver or Colorado Springs to pick up the mail and to deliver it to residents.

Depriving small towns of the service they would have if they were in major cities, would be unfair and purposeless.

If a local business or organization is willing and able to pick up and close the gap by helping with delivery, we say we need to consider it. These local carriers must be licensed, of course, by the post office and permitted to carry all mail

including First Class Mail both to and from local communities, and they must be paid the same way the USPS is paid, by the mail class or product that they delivered.

What we may need in the future could be a franchise-oriented service where the local mail organization is not only permitted, but encouraged to develop mail volume, and to provide necessary services without encumbrance from postal rules.

I don't know of any publishers today who are thinking they want to get into the mail delivery business, but a dramatic change in our business environments would get them started thinking that way in a big hurry.

Thus, I hope in its report, the

Commission experts will look to the concerns of rural

America, factor in fuel prices, labor costs, the

effects of unraveling the universal service if the

Postal Service continues to prefer the high density

nationally-focused mail that it has favored in recent

years.

The Commission should ask itself, if USPS decides to leave less populated areas with something less than universal service, universal service in the meaning of good service at reasonable

price, what steps could be taken to allow those communities to help themselves?

I believe these are questions not for this year, but certainly for the decade, and I believe they are questions that the newspapers of America can help to answer.

I appreciate the opportunity to appear and look forward to your questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you very much, and I wanted to thank you for coming in. I don't know how far of a drive that was for you today.

MR. BARANCZYK: I drove from Phoenix.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Okay. So you -- well, it's still a long distance from Salida, so we appreciate you coming in, and I also wanted to thank Tonda Rush, as well. Tonda is with NNA and is well known to the Commission, and we appreciate the work that you've done at the Commission in providing us with a point of view of a very important constituency.

So with that, I would like to kick off the questioning. Rather than framing it as questions, I would like you all to just consider this as a conversation. We'll throw out some ideas, and we'd like to hear your thoughts on that because our report will only be as good as the ideas that we elicit from

our panels here and in Portsmouth and in St. Paul,
Minnesota, and from our Federal register notice and
workshops that we're having.

2.2

So with that, I referenced in my opening statement six features or dimensions of universal service; geographic scope, access, range of products, delivery services, rates and affordability, and quality of service. Is there anything that we're missing in looking at universal service according to those six dimensions, and if there is, what is it and why do you think it's important? And anyone is free to answer that question.

MR. ROWLEY: Offhand, I can't think of anything that is covered in those areas.

MR. JAMES: One thing I may add, is my experience with the Postal Service is the security and sanctity of the mail is something we provide, and it might be the mail, but comes the way it comes.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: We should consider the security and sanctity of the mail in the concept of the USO, but what things would you encourage us to look at?

MR. JAMES: I think when I talk to my customers, they trust that the mail is in the mailbox, and if something happened, say, to gift cards in the

1 mail, or anything of value, and I do believe my in 2 experience people feel very trusted by how the Postal 3 Service protects their mail. 4 Thank you, Mr. James. CHAIRMAN BLAIR: 5 Anvone else? 6 (No response) 7 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: I'll open it up to the panel at this point. Commissioner Acton, do you have 8 9 any questions for the panel? 10 COMMISSIONER ACTON: Mr. Rowley, I'm 11 wondering in your discussions with the Postal Service, have they expressly conveyed to you or to your 12 13 association that your preferred method of delivery 14 that you presently employ would be unavailable to you 15 under the FSS program? 16 No. We haven't received any MR. ROWLEY: 17 communication that our current configuration in Flagstaff, at least in the short run, would be 18 19 impacted by any changes that are being considered. The concerns that I'm representing today are not for 20 21 our newspaper, but for other newspapers who, through NNA, have expressed concerns that they would -- they 22 would have to make some dramatic changes in where they 23 24 are currently entering their mail. So it's an ongoing 25 COMMISSIONER ACTON:

discussion? 1 2 MR. ROWLEY: Correct. 3 COMMISSIONER ACTON: My only other comment, 4 Mr. Chairman, is really with respect to Ms. Lehrdahl's 5 commentary. I enjoyed hearing some of the points you 6 raised, particularly the question about the due dates 7 on some of the reports that are incorporated in the 8 statute. I think that this particular one is 9 ambitious. 10 In December, at the end of this year 11 when we're visiting the rest of the reform measures, 12 it's having a lot on the plate. We'll have to do it, of course, because it's the law now, but I think that 13 it's an open question on whether it is something that 14 15 could be revisited again down the road. 16 MS. LEHRDAHL: Yes, I believe so, that it 17 can be. Thanks for all your 18 COMMISSIONER ACTON: 19 comments. 20 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Commissioner Goldway? 21 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: Thank you. I'm not particularly interested in the issue of universal 22 service as it relates to access. One of the things I 23 heard today from Mr. Rowley and Mr. Baranczyk is the

need to assure access in the upstream of the mail, at

24

25

a point that is convenient and most useful to you, rather than the Postal Service arbitrarily deciding where your access should be, and that is an interesting point that I hadn't really thought of before, and I will take it back with me when I review all of the other studies that we've had on this issue.

Most certainly, the Postal Service needs to be efficient, but it also needs to be fair and equitable in the access it provides, but as a former consumer advocate, what I usually focus on most is retail access that the average citizen has.

So I'm particularly interested in hearing from Mr. James as to why there has been this particular emphasis on contract postal stations here in your region, and what's the motivation for it, and what is your thinking about it, and why do you see that as something that is part of the universal service obligation?

MR. JAMES: The point is get access, and what you have is the corporate capital is very expensive. If we can go to places where a lot of people buy stamps -- like in the City of Scottsdale, you have six post offices, but you can go to retail access where people just go buy stamps and purchase postal products.

It just gives them more availability to come into the Postal Service, and contract postal units are a cost effective way of doing that.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: So when you look at the service that you provide, the retail service that you provide, are you saying that there is sort of a minimum that the Postal Service should provide to everybody, there is a certain amount of access that should be provided, either retail access points per population or distance, and you're looking to maintain that, or how do you calculate what kind of bottom line service access is that you feel the Postal Service should provide?

MR. JAMES: Well, it's difficult to go by population because people may have retail access to Postal Service where they work. So in dense cities like the City of Phoenix, that's where they may purchase their postal products. You try to gauge it by the community and retail activity. You cover windows. You want to introduce and make it quick and convenient for your customers to come in and purchase stamps.

You can look around to see if you have any competition in the area, and to me, like I said, the City of Scottsdale has generated so much revenue

from the people, and people like to do it, and if you also use like a Hallmark card store, people go to stores to buy cards, so you try to put the access there so the people that are buying cards, you can buy the stamps at the same time and you try to make it as convenient as possible for your customers.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: So you're not saying you need to be a minimum; you're trying to make as many convenient spots as possible beyond what a minimum would be. You just want to make it -- provide as much access is you can?

MR. JAMES: You want to do it from a business perspective, and if it's efficient, you make as many as possible. With the contract postal units, you're not building the building, you're not paying the labor cost, so it's a profitable way of give your customers more access to your product because it is convenient to go to a gift card store and buy postage.

Chances are you can encourage your customers to use your product more and grow your revenue at the same time.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And are there any quality controls that you put into contract stations or into these other kind of retail access to make sure

1 that people get what they pay for or get the right 2 information about postal services or whether they 3 don't? 4 Yes, we do, and even like with MR. JAMES: 5 delivery confirmation, like we have the scanner and 6 when it's scanned at a contract post, we go back and 7 watch how they scan and we do visit them and we do 8 have our marketing folks go out and see what the 9 contract postal unit does provide, and my wife is one 10 of the chief ones, too. She goes into the postal 11 units, too, and will tell them --

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: She's a mystery shopper?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JAMES: Yes, and she will tell me.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And, Ms. Lehrdahl, I have sort of similar questions for you, because in many ways the rural carriers are also the retail access --

MS. LEHRDAHL: Yes, we are.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: -- for people. What is your sense about whether the retail access that you provide is the most efficient way for distribution of postal services to average citizens in rural areas, or do you think there should be more contract postal stations or, you know, Wal-Mart selling stamps, or

would that ease the burden of your work? Would that upset your customers? What's your feeling in terms of that?

2.4

MS. LEHRDAHL: My feeling -- and let me give you an example. Recently in Albuquerque, we put out a mailing post office on wheels flier that basically told everybody our services. We gave them something, and I brought a sample. It's an orange envelope that we handed out to our customers, and it lists the postage. They can buy a roll of stamps. They can buy a book of stamps. If they want something special, we'd let them know, just like the forever stamps that are out now.

And we did this specifically right before the rate increase because we wanted them to buy the forever stamps. So this is a way of getting the customer out of having to wait in line. We were targeting them so we could provide all the services to them and let them know you don't have to go to the post office, we're going to do everything for you.

I give them one of these in the mailbox. I fill it right then and there, and they've got everything there. We have everything. We can bring them boxes. We can bring them anything they need, mail packages for them. So I feel that this is

a better service that we can offer them while bringing 1 2 in revenue for the Postal Service. COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And you don't feel 3 4 it's an unfair burden or complicates the work that you 5 do? Oh, no, no. I feel this is 6 MS. LEHRDAHL: 7 something that the customers need to know, we do this 8 for them, and this has been going on for years and So we're 9 years that we provide these services. 10 This is another option so you letting them know. don't have to drive to the post office. You don't 11 have to wait in line to get a package delivered. 12 you want any of these services, we're here for you and 13 14 we're very glad to do it. 15 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: I could go on, but 16 T --CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thanks, Commissioner 17 18 Goldway. Commissioner Hammond? 19 20 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Commissioner Goldway asked questions 21 on most of the main concerns that I have, so I 22 appreciate you doing that, but I did have a couple of 23 questions. And while talking to Stephanie and being 24 the post office on wheels, and Stephanie and I were 25

discussing before the hearing where she comes from a postal family where she had a father and mother or -- MS. LEHRDAHL: Father and uncles.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Father and uncle in the Postal Service, and, of course, my father was a rural mail carrier for 25 of his 30 years in the Postal Service. So we reminisced for a minute. But, anyway, there was one thing that I wondered about. We always think of -- when we're talking that rural carriers, and all, it is you delivering to a remote farm house, whatever, but do you -- and I know you're not looking at looking inside people's mail.

But do you have an impression of -- I know there are many people who now, especially with the advent of the internet and Ebay, and things like that, and all, that you have customers on your route who are small business people that you're taking care of, also, that are running a small business out of their house, and that you're providing that service for small business, also, and it is not just the -- they're running it out of the house, you're not only a rural carrier for homes; you're really covering things like that. Do you find that very much?

MS. LEHRDAHL: Not particularly on my route that I have business customers, but I know other rural

1	carriers that have customers that sell like beef jerky
2	at their house. They make the beef jerky, and we're
3	trying to provide the services and let them know that
4	we pick up packages and we have the carrier pickup
5	where we pick up packages, and that's a service that
6	we offer, anyway, as far as mailing packages for all
7	our customers.
8	They do have the option of carrier
9	pickup where they do mass mailing of packages that we
10	pick up for them, and we do provide like I said, if
11	they need the boxes to mail everything out, we'll
12	provide that service for them, also.
13	COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: So if that were
14	altered under the universal service change, that might
15	make a possibly significant difference?
16	MS. LEHRDAHL: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: And I was wondering
18	also, Merle, you're in Salida, you're a daily
19	newspaper, five days a week?
20	MR. BARANCZYK: Yes, sir.
21	COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: And then you have
22	weekly newspapers to smaller towns around, also.
23	Right?
24	MR. BARANCZYK: Correct.
25	COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Now, like your daily,

is the Postal Service delivering any of that for you now, or is that where you're delivering it yourself?

MR. BARANCZYK: It's a significant portion, we have about -- we have 4000 circulation newspaper, and about 1500 copies get delivered by our rural carriers, and the few papers that are delivered within the Salida area, so we depend on the Postal Service very much for a good chunk of our delivery, roughly 30 percent or almost a third of our delivery.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: And this is your daily newspaper?

MR. BARANCZYK: This is for our daily, that's correct, which makes us really concerned about the FSS possibility. If we are two hours or three hours from the nearest FSS plant and it takes us three hours to get there, what happens to our deadline? What happens to our editorial deadlines, our ad deadlines, and so on?

And the other thing is that in rural areas, the community depends on their newspapers for the news of that area, and there is a very -- historically, a very close tie between newspapers and their community and their government, and even for, say the weeklies, most weeklies publish on Thursday so they can get in the news of Monday night's city

1 council meetings and Tuesday night's school board 2 meetings and still get it out, get their delivery out 3 that week on Thursday, with weekend events. 4 Well, if FSS happens and we have to 5 deliver our papers three hours to the Springs to 6 Denver, what happens to that timely information? It's 7 not there. 8 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: I assume right now 9 with respect to your weeklies, you are -- you are 10 physically taking that newspaper to the post office 11 for the town that it is going to be delivered at? 12 MR. BARANCZYK: That's correct. 13 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: That's where you do 14 your drop? 15 That's correct, the DDU. MR. BARANCZYK: 16 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: And so if you're not 17 allowed to do that in the future for some reason, that 18 may be a substantive problem? 19 MR. BARANCZYK: It would be a huge problem 20 for us. 21 COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: With your five-day, and I know you mentioned in your testimony and others 22 23 are also talking about it, the universal service obligation discussion usually involves issues of if we 24

cut back to five days a week or cut back to four days

25

a week, or something like that, but if it ever came to only being four days a week, who wants your five-day newspaper? Do they want -- are they willing to get Thursday's paper on Friday, along with Friday's, and so forth? What kind of effect would that have?

MR. BARANCZYK: We would be forced to look at an alternative delivery system. We would have to go to the trouble of duplicating what the post office is already doing very efficiently, and that just doesn't make sense to us, to -- in a community where you have the daily newspaper, people are depending on that news the very next day. They want that news.

If there is a city council meeting or a county commissioners meeting or a school board meeting or hospital board, any of these types of meetings, we would want to have that information the next day or next morning.

So they've grown to expect that, and in weekly communities, and -- in communities served by weeklies, I should say, people grow dependant on that and build their schedules around it. So if, for example -- well, we're not going to have Thursday deliveries anymore, what is that going to do to our subscribers? What is that going to do to the relationship between newspapers and the community and

their government, the local government?

Even though Ruth had asked a good number of questions to Larry on the contract postal units, it seems like, and as you put in your testimony, that it looks like especially your district has worked very hard on customer — outreach to customers, if nothing — if that's the best way to put it.

MR. JAMES: Well, I think that the outreach to the customer is very important, because it's not only to make it convenient for your customers, but people that use alternate products. If it's more convenient, chances are they'll use your product, and we are trying to build some new facilities beyond contract postal units for the growth and demand of facilities, too, but you try to make it more convenient so you can upgrade profit.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Part of it is because your area is one of fastest growing areas, but you don't consider the contract postal units to be necessarily a substitute to when a new post office is necessary, but more as a supplement for better service or --

MR. JAMES: Well, when you say post office, one of the functions of the post office is to house

the letter carriers and do the delivery operations and the clerk operations are processing the mail. Where the contract postal units come in is retail up front. They can help you compliment what you have out there. Most contract postal units do not have mailboxes. The customer is running, so they can compliment it that way, but the main unit has the mailboxes, the carrier operation and the clerk operation.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Have you looked at ways -- do you have in your area a waiting list for post office boxes for people?

MR. JAMES: We have some parts with waiting lists, but we don't really have -- I think we rent, and I'm not sure, about 429,000 of the 460,000 boxes we have, and I have to go back and check the numbers.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Okay. Thank you.

I have one question of Don that I hesitate to ask, but we had a great discussion this morning with you here, and it was focused mostly on much of your business aspect of the newspaper, but a publisher of a newspaper has to have the pulse of the people better than anybody in order to survive, and that's the way it has been in the communities for years and years and years.

Do you have a general impression of what

the folks around Flagstaff think of the postal service they get now and what they perceive to be a universal service obligation, whether they care whether it goes away or stays the same or if it changes? I know I'm hitting you up for a general impression, but you're one of the key best people to ask.

MR. ROWLEY: Well, I think one of the ways that we gauge public sentiment about anything is in our letters to the editor, and I can't remember the last letter to the editor that we received with any comment about the service from the post office, and I think that our assumption is that the newspaper is —if people are satisfied, they're fairly silent. It's only when they're dissatisfied, that we hear from them.

So I don't think that it's really on the radar screen for most residents of Flagstaff, and that would lead me to believe that, for the most part, they're satisfied with the level of service. As to the notion of their understanding the universal service obligation, I would guess that most citizens in Flagstaff or any community couldn't offhand articulate what that even means or haven't given a lot of thought to the monopoly that the post office has and the advantages and the disadvantages of that.

I think they think fairly simply -- that they expect their mail to get to them every day, and it pretty well does, and they're happy with that. I can say that we have had -- we occasionally have had such severe weather that in some areas, the post office been has not been able to deliver mail, and we sense there is almost more tolerance for that than when we don't get the newspaper to them, but I believe they seem pretty understanding of the kind of challenges that the community with some remote rural areas like this place has.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Thank you. I could go on, but I'll stop.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: I just had one last question. We talked about six-day-a-week delivery, and then Mr. James referenced the five-day-a-week delivery down in the bottom the Grand Canyon, and Ms. Lehrdahl talked about her experience in rural New Mexico.

Particularly for the two Postal Service representatives, do we -- are there areas that receive less than six days, other than the Grand Canyon -- in your areas that receive less than six-day-a-week delivery?

MR. JAMES: I only have one little place --

it's actually Blue, Arizona, Monday, Wednesday,
Thursday. Actually it's in the northeast corner out
of Albuquerque, and there's the very small community
of Box, and that's about it.

2.2

MS. LEHRDAHL: I don't know of any offices that don't deliver six days a week.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: I think that's helpful for us, because as we engage the level of service that's provided throughout the country, knowing those areas that don't receive it, and if it's a small sub-set and just what the issues are, that will help us better conduct our study.

And one last question would be that there seems to be a lot of concern over the Flats Sequencing System and its implementation and how it impacts on access to the postal system.

Mr. Rowley brought it up, Mr. Baranczyk brought it up, and you seem to be suggesting that if that access is denied, then a hard look needs to be made at the mailbox monopoly. That's rather radical. Is that your position?

MR. ROWLEY: Well, I think we're -- as a daily newspaper, we don't have the same set of concerns that a weekly newspaper has. A weekly newspaper in many instances depends wholly on the U.S.

Mail for delivery of their product. In the case of the daily newspaper like ours, we already have a distribution system for our daily newspaper, and we rely on the post office to deliver our total market coverage publication to non-subscribers.

It would be easier for us to adapt, if we had to, by expanding the role of our delivery people to include our TMC product, than a weekly newspaper, so I don't think that --

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Are you asking for access to the mailbox, though?

MR. ROWLEY: No. I think that our interest in that would be substantially less than a weekly newspaper who might be saying, "Well, if you can't get to those addresses through the post office boxes on certain days, then let us do it." So our view on that is the daily newspaper is probably somewhat different than that of the weekly.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Mr. Baranczyk, do you want to weigh in?

MR. BARANCZYK: Yes, I do. Thank you. NNA represents something like 6000 or 7000 weekly newspapers or that we serve to represent, and it would be a real issue for these small papers to not have access directly to their post office. It would force

them to put together distribution systems that they don't have to do right now. They don't have to have a carrier force because they do so clearly depend on the post office for delivery and for timely delivery and consistent and reliable service.

So it would be a major issue for a lot or for very -- or, for the vast majority of small newspapers across the country that serve so many rural areas of the country to have to deal with something like FSS, or if access is denied to their local post office, what are they going to do to come up with some type of delivery service that meets the same need, provides the same service?

MR. JAMES: Could I comment on that?
CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Please.

MR. JAMES: Regarding FSS -- and I would like to talk -- I really don't have a full understanding, because the purpose of FSS is to put mail in zone sequence for zones with heavy volumes. So I would like to talk to both gentlemen, but I know I'm not targeting those zones. So I would like to talk to both and maybe get an opinion back to you later.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Absolutely. When we had a discussion earlier this morning with Mr. Rowley, I

said you're going to have some key people here this afternoon, and so you can raise those concerns, as well.

2.2

MR. JAMES: One of the concerns he had was his drop on Tuesday, was delivered today, and there was no intention to bring that in with the machines. It's kind of like you have automated equipment to put the mail in five digit order, but the FSS's intention is to put the mail in zone sequence order, and inherent in that or part of it, is you need large volumes of mail to make the economy scale and the large zones like Scottsdale, Mesa and City of Phoenix is where you're talking.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Okay. Commissioner Goldway, did you have one last question?

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: It's really not a question. It's a request. I thought the discussion today was really interesting about the civic role of the small newspapers and the community role of the rural carriers in reaching out to people who don't have somebody representing them, and I'm hopeful that in -- that in some supplementary comments that you can give to us that you will expand on that social community responsibility of universal service obligation so that the role of the mail is, as I see

it, not just a business proposition, not just to be an efficient communications tool, which it is, but also to bind the nation together.

And many of us think, "Well, we have telephones and we have, you know, televisions, and we have the digital internet, do we need this binding of the society together anymore," and I think you brought up some very important ways in which we still really do need the Postal Service for that vital community function. So I would like to have more of that on the record, if you can provide it.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: And we saw that yesterday in Jerome, the one stop shop and the multitasking that the Jerome Postmaster performs. With that, I would like to conclude our first panel. Again, thank you so much for coming in. Your testimony adds so much value to the work we're doing, and if we have any follow-up questions, we'll submit them to you in writing, but at this point, thank you for coming in. I appreciate your time and efforts.

And following this panel, if we could bring in the next three witnesses.

Good afternoon, everyone. Thanks for coming in. This panel today is comprised -- I'm particularly pleased to be able to welcome you today.

I think we're going to have some really interesting testimony. We started out with Cameron Powell who is the vice president for strategic development at Earth Class Mail in Seattle, Washington, so the Commission welcomes you and appreciates your testimony.

Second will be Jan Pritchard of the Flute Network. I came in on the rate case in December of 2006, and I have to say that your presence and your testimony before the Commission and participation in that case meant a great deal to the Commission, and the Commission certainly impacted and took heart to the testimony that you gave before it and what you did in that case.

Our third witness today is Matthew Panos. He's the vice president for Ministry and Partnership on Resources at Food for the Hungry in Phoenix. Welcome. We appreciate your testimony today.

I ask that we all include your full statement, and if you could summarize, we're happy to receive that. So, Mr. Powell?

MR. POWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
Commissioners and passing train conductors. Thank you
for providing Earth Class Mail to speak about the
future of our Postal Service. My testimony today will

elaborate on my written submission's explanation of the single most transformative act that the Postal Service can take to evolve, which in turn it must do to survive.

Let me clearly state my biases. I hail from a rural town of about 2000 people that is four and five hours from any major metropolitan area in northwestern Colorado. My company is entirely dependent on people continuing to put stamps on envelopes and paper in the mail. I have no wish to see mail go away.

My thesis, however, like my company's 21st century technology, is dedicated to the proposition that Postal Services, for their own sake and for the sake of individuals and businesses that receive mail, have no choice but to begin to answer the demands of people who receive paper-based communications, and what they demand is choice in how they receive and manage those communications.

Technology changes culture, and culture changes technology. New technologies less than 20 years old have totally transformed human culture in developed countries. They've changed our expectations about communications. They've changed the way we live and work and relate to one another and, meanwhile,

Americans are much more mobile. We have mobile homes. Our expectations of how technology must facilitate our lifestyles have changed considerably.

2.2

What are these new technologies? They are the internet, digital imaging, and new automation methodologies that have all had to adapt to the demands of humans who insist on using the internet to manipulate material things. Taken together, we call the human experience of these technologies as expressed in the bible of business models as online postal mail.

Online postal mail simply uses one of the quintessential features of the internet, the instant feedback loop between user and a business to provide the intended recipients of paper-based communications with more choice and empowerment than ever before.

Would you like that as paper, or in digital form? Do you want it now, or later? At the usual place, or elsewhere? Do you want to shred the paper, recycle it, or forward it? Would you like to print the electronic version, sign it, or copy it, forward it? Do you want more of these communications, or fewer? Are there communications about new products and services that you are not getting but would like

to have? Would you prefer to receive digital advertising in full color on your computer or mobile phone, perhaps even video ads? All of it something you can interact with, or do you prefer the way of the envelope?

Is there anything else the Postal
Service can do for you in exchange for revenues
previously not dreamed of? The Postal Service can
easily begin to offer a superior version of what
private companies are already offering today, 21st
century mail receiving technologies that give choice
and empowerment to -- well, to just about everybody,
because everybody gets mail, but we can start with the
following to rural residents, including people at the
bottom of the Grand Canyon and the 20 million
customers with P.O. boxes and countless general
delivery customers, highly mobile mail recipients,
such as college students, road warriors, relief
workers, military personnel, disaster relief personnel
and mobile home owners.

I am a road warrior. I can check and manage my home and business postal mail right now during this hearing. Another beneficiary would be populations with other types of critical needs, such as battered women seeking anonymity through an address

they don't actually live at, the homeless and victims of disasters, and even people in the middle of prohibitive weather that can't be delivered to.

1.4

Another category would be legitimate individuals and businesses around the world such that the Postal Service could greatly expand its target market and eventually large enterprises who our company is seeing for whom lack of choice about having to move paper to people or people to paper is often a mind-boggling waste of direct cost and loss of productivity.

e-book, I described in greater detail how online postal mail would work for the Postal Service. Here I'll just focus on a few of the benefits which I think are extraordinary enough. One is high revenues. Again, the Postal Service could begin finally to cater to the unique needs of a market that comprises one half of every mailing transaction, a market that is, therefore, the single largest source of untapped revenue the Postal Service could ever hope to discover.

The Postal Service could increase its target market by adding international customers who want U.S. based physical addresses for legitimate

reasons. The Postal Service could fulfill more and more consumer demand for services that address the entire life cycle for both paper and digital documents. It could lower its costs, especially the sorting and delivery costs. It could increase its margins. It could provide better service for P.O. Box holders, homeless people, general delivery customers and anonymity seekers such as battered women, as well as relief workers and disaster victims.

They could improve and attract far more customers to its premium mail forwarding service and save two billion a year in mail forwarding costs, billions more unaddressed as deliverable mail, and a great deal more processing and reacting to, I think, 45 million change of address orders per year.

The Postal Service could offer both scanning of mail and other incentives as grounds for consumers to choose, say, a Monday, Wednesday and Friday delivery. So the delivery costs of non-urgent items and non-time-sensitive items could be reduced the other days of the week. This could be particularly useful on rural routes.

The Postal Service could offer individuals and businesses disaster preparedness and business continuity that mail fails to provide today,

and by better insulating us against the economic aftershocks of disaster, it could improve our national security.

1.1

And, finally, in my brief listing of benefits, the Postal Service could radically cut its environmental impact by reducing the amount of heated and cooled real estate that is needed to process paper, electrically power operations, sortation of vehicles, and emissions from trucks, including the trucks of enterprises that drive around campuses and do vast amounts of deliveries every day, while also improving especially the poor rate of recycling of mail, which is only at a rate of about 22 percent according to the EPA, whereas in a system similar to ours is at closer to 90 or 100 percent.

As the many privatized services are coming to realize, Postal Services can evolve and survive only by ceasing to view themselves as merely logistics and transportation companies. Instead, they must understand that their business is actually communications, binding people together with communications of all kinds.

Paper-based communications will not go away any time soon, but there will be a transitional period, and it is for that transition that progressive

postal services around the world are preparing now.

Just as auto manufacturers cannot go all electric overnight, the Postal Service cannot jump straight to a digital paradigm. To get from gas to electric or paper to digital, you must go through a hybrid stage during which you offer both.

If the U.S. Postal Service is to offer universal service at a reasonable price, it must make more money or cut unnecessary costs or both.

Fortunately, the Postal Service has available to it today a hybrid paper to digital technology far more viable than what auto manufacturers have in their hybrid model.

We in private enterprise have already done the research and seen the relevance. We've proven the market demand, and all the Postal Service has to do now is engage in the attempt. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Mr. Powell.

Ms. Pritchard?

MS. PRITCHARD: Hi. Good afternoon, and I appreciate very much your kind words. Thank you. It was an honor to do that and have that opportunity, and it's an honor and a pleasure to get to be with you here today. I hope to offer what may be food for

thought and more, as you may remember from the large volume of information I gave you before.

Regarding this reconsideration of the notions of universal service, the universal service obligation and the postal monopoly and the joining in the discussion of these important issues, I am here as the owner and publisher of the Flute Network. We are a small and entirely volunteer entity now closing in on the end of our 24th year of service as a bulletin board service for flutists, for teachers, and the people who love these kinds of folks.

In addition to a website presence which has become absolutely requisite in recent years for businesses of all kinds, we continue to organize and publish an ad letter typically 8 to 12 pages which goes out free of charge nine times a year now to some 6100 different subscribers nationwide.

One of the many things I learned while organizing information and preparing to share it all with you here today, is that five minutes is just not a very long time, especially given the magnitude of subjects we're here to talk about and the magnitude of the task at hand.

I don't know how to be any less than thorough when it comes to considerations like this.

What works for me is to dive in and wrap my head around as much of it as possible so that the core issues will rise to the top. All of that is condensed down to sixteen pages of thought, and the rest are two supplementary documents, as have been requested. So I'm going to submit that as a secondary document, and what -- after hearing what was discussed today, I'm excited beyond words because you will find echoes of many of the comments and concerns in the document that I have given to you as the secondary document.

I would like to try to use the rest of my allotment of time to highlight a few of the things that may have fallen through the cracks and some of the information that is in that bigger document. You will find I mention especially the social aspects in there and the whole tactic of binding people together.

I practically climbed out of my seat when you mentioned that. The beginning is always a good place to start. You already know that I produce the Flute Network and that I testified in the rate case under the name of Flute.

In this paper document, I will begin with a more considered background on how it is that Flute Network came to be, especially how it came upon

the convictions that drove its development and that how a conviction-driven philosophy can, indeed, be reflected in tangible form with all the related dimensions of dollars and cents and accountability.

I felt this to be an important groundwork to lay, because one of the things I would like to suggest to you in your consideration is that the notion of universal service, with or without the obligation part, and the postal monopoly laws, are also deeply philosophical and value-laden, even as they have overwhelming importance for income to balance sheets, dollars and cents, and the pressures of those who seek to influence the national policies related to them.

It's going to take courage and no small degree of spine to play in these realms, and I commend you for taking that task on, even if it was started by language in the PAEA. As I see it, there are a number of letters related to the potential future and the whole issue of mailing that may or may not influence the direction you decide to go in defining the future scope of responsibility and the practical handling of universal service and postal monopoly.

I think what I can legitimately offer you has less to do with rules and regulations and

business models, and is more something along the lines of the view from the little guy out here and the potential mailer.

Essentially, I will be taking an outside looking in point of view. The one most important part that I would like to give voice to today is to encourage the Postal Regulatory Commission to, please, to the best of your ability, take a long view on these questions, as they are indeed of historical magnitude.

It's going to be especially challenging to keep the big picture in mind, and I don't envy you your task. No less than a dozen times in just the preparation of these materials, I thought that I had it all figured out, and a new idea would present itself, and in fair consideration of it in the context of all the rest, it would take me right back to square one.

All I know is that in the past, for the Flute Network at least, when confronted with similar challenges, I found that without fail, the best way forward was always in line with a firm conviction and solid principles about choosing to do whatever was the right thing to do, even if at the time it possibly wasn't what I particularly wanted to do, and even if I was pretty sure that on the face of it, what I was

being asked to do was totally impossible, and even if I couldn't see my way forward.

If it passed this test of is it the right thing to do, then the clear way forward always made itself known sooner or later. No matter what, though, there would certainly be more work to do, and more often than not, lots of it. What that means in this context is I would encourage you to consider the reasons why someone would recommend moving away from a given model, as much as they are trying to convince you to move towards another one, and listen for the deep, subtle indications of what is the right thing to do.

Another theme in there has to do with encouraging and begging you to go beyond just the proposals to an active -- to actively imagine the consequences of the choices you will make as regards both the ideological and the operational decisions for the handling of universal service, with or without the obligations part, and the postal monopoly options.

To take one example in terms of the questions before us today, when it comes to providing the aspect of universal service, it has to do with allowing the United States Postal Service to drop service areas for whatever reasons or to relax

universal service obligations. There is peril in the question of just who would we choose to leave out.

On the basis of it, the potential of cutting back on service areas for mail delivery, heightens emotions, because it is a threat that tends to be taken personally, the idea being if somebody can get left out, it just might be me, or maybe somebody I really need to send stuff to, and that's just not acceptable. Even were other delivery options ready to fill the gap, unless their costs were substantially less and their service is substantially better, which is a combination that people would willingly gravitate towards, the political consequences for politicians in those areas would likely be swift and stern.

Though I have not had the opportunity to research it, yet, my sense of it is that losing postal service in the home area is not generally conducive for one's reelection, especially now that we're in the second consecutive year of serious annual postage rate increases, yet here we are talking about cutting back on services. So, again, we have another case where fundamental values, beliefs and convictions about big issues can really help.

Another part of that big picture view that I encourage you to take is looking beyond the

current generation, beyond the what's coming next to the what's coming after that. This relates to your 10 to 15 years out consideration. The kids who are now in junior high school and high school and early college, they're a different group.

2.2

Now, we're already noticing a decline in letter mail for quite a number of reasons, one of them attributing this drop in volume to people communicating more online and paying bills more online than they used to.

But these kids -- according to quite a number of university administrators I've talked with from here and overseas, these kids simply do not do email, nor do they read the emails they get. If you want to get a message through to these kids, you have to text it, because they're always on their phones, and I'm sure you've seen it, too. They're LOL'ing and PRB'ing, PRLS-ing and CU-ing, all the time.

These kids have been called by some the Burger King generation, as in they want it their way, they want what they want tailored just for them, they want it big and colorful and flashy, and they don't want to have to look for or have to wait for what they want anywhere along the line. They want what they want handed to them, and there's a new phenomenon

called push technology that is rising up fast and furious these days to give it to them.

I was struck by hearing the testimony today of how that overlaps that with some of the provisions that are provided by the rural letter carriers. So looking in a bit further ahead, as I think we need to do now, the question really becomes how do we make the Postal Service relevant to people who won't even use whole words to communicate.

I think there is hope. I they we can talk about that, and I do talk about that in the bigger document there. One bit of that also has to do with the potential for the vote by mail idea. I think that could be especially important down the line.

Now, approximately four of those pages are a retelling of an episode in the history of the United States Postal Service that I bring forward because I'm convinced that both the U.S. Postal Service and the Postal Regulatory Commission could take real advantage of some of what I saw in there and run with it. These points are really rather timeless things. I also came across and include a reference to a paper by Charles Kinney who quoted A.J. Campbell who said in a paper presented in Ireland that the United States only mandated universal home delivery in 1958

and had introduced one price delivery in 1885. Then Kinney goes on to conclude that the postal monopoly far predates one price delivery and universal service obligations, suggesting the justificatory link between the three developed ex-post.

Then there is the section where I share insights and discussions with a friend of mine which took place just this past weekend. This gentleman is a high-ranking consultant in a private consulting firm to the electronic power industry. Since the late 1980s, he's also done work in the U.K., Canada, New Zealand and Australia, and has been plagued with notions at how the mail system might work should it mirror what is happening in the power industry.

And let me tell you, it isn't pretty, but I've included it all in there for you, as well, and there's lots more, and I'm aware that I'm running out of the last milliseconds of my five minutes.

There's one last thing I really wanted to get in. One way or another, just by paying attention to something, change happens. It always does. When it comes to something as monolithic as the U.S. Postal Service, no matter what comes out in your final report, there is going to be change of some sort reflected it in it for somebody.

What it's going to come up against is inertia. Inertia is hard to overcome, but survival is a powerful and proven motivator. The path forward can be laid out clearly in well thought through rationales which are based on widely held convictions and cultural values. People will likely have an easier time choosing to buy into it, or not. Either way, they are not going to like having to make a choice. They won't like having the comfort of their route compromised. There will be screaming.

Competing with this is also a hunger to feel a part of something, a vital part of something that is growing, dynamic and exciting and leading to a clearly better way of doing things. A well thought out vision, one which brings us along in the making of it, can tip the scales in favor of the latter.

Whether you decide ultimately to reconfirm the universal service obligation and the postal monopoly as belonging to the USPS or redefining it in some way, please make every effort to bring us along in the reasoning for it. Help us see how the decisions were made and help us understand the convictions, principles and values which drove them. Help us see that you have thought through the consequences and ramifications of making that vision

realized for both the entities most directly affected and the ancillary ones that support them.

Most of this country are reasonable people most of the time, and I do believe that most folks in this country would choose the good of -- would choose the good of the many over the good of the few, unless, of course, the good of the few happens to include the proverbial me.

When that is the case for someone, usually all bets are off. And thank you for your time, and I regret that I wasn't able to squeeze everything into five minutes, and I hope that the documents accompanying this testimony may prove useful and at least amusing.

I'd be happy to take any questions, and at the end of the packet, I have included a copy of our most recent issue for you, as well.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you.

Mr. Panos?

MR. PANOS: Thank you for the opportunity to be here to discuss the importance of the universal postal service in the non-profit community. Much of what I have to say is by necessity intuitive, rather than quantitative. In some respects, it's unfortunate that this study is required so soon after the enacting

of a new law.

We have all, as you know, been very busy trying to comply with the new laws and really haven't had enough time to crunch all the numbers and really come up with the answers to many of your questions.

A little bit about my background and current position, as I think it will bring perspective to what I have to say. In 27 years of non-profit marketing and major fundraising experience, I held leadership positions with the Muscular Dystrophy Association, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Baptist Hospital and Health Systems, and currently serve as vice president of the Ministry Partnership and Resources with Food for the Hungry based in Phoenix, Arizona.

In my professional and volunteer roles,
I am a former president of the National Voluntary
Health Association of California, and I held
leadership roles with the Los Angeles and Orange
County chapters of the National Society of Fundraising
Executives, which is now called AFP or the Association
of Fundraising Professionals. I'm also part of the
Direct Marketing Association's non-profit federation
where I currently serve as an advisory board member.

Food for the Hungry is a Christian

international relief and development organization and our organization counts on the mail to receive support from donors and to communicate with our donors and the public about the importance of our mission and to help people around the world. Food for the Hungry, as well as our other non-profit organization I've been affiliated with and/or have knowledge of, and was recently a part of 350,000 non-profit permit holders, which uses the mail as its fund-raising anchor.

2.2

In the case of Food for the Hungry,
we're a mid-sized organization, mailing about four and
a half million pieces of mail per year. Our most
recent mailings were directed to meeting emergency
needs for the disasters in Myanmar and China. We're
those global road warriors Mr. Powell talked about.
We're exempt from the Federal do not call
restrictions, but think about it. How else other than
the mail can we reach our known community of givers so
quickly and effectively?

Giving is also a pillar of the tax code. It allows a citizen, not government, to help society. Mail is the least intrusive means available to non-profits, and I suspect commercial entities, as well, to reach the public, and for those who donate, it is the most secure means of giving.

According to the FTC, there is very little ID theft that is mail-related. Moreover, the demographics of the "who" that gives, the difference in who gives is tilted towards the upper age brackets, individuals who often times do not have other modes or trust other modes of giving.

Some examples. 73 percent of our direct mail donors are 50 and over. 45 percent are 70 and over. 15 percent are 80 or more. Most of these supporters do not use any other medium for their giving. Based on my relationships with the non-profit world, I can say that the most long-standing non-profits have similar donor demographics in their direct mail programs. You will not be surprised that as an anchor, the mail is irreplaceable fund raising channel.

The current level of the mail service not only provides the best and in some cases the only means of reaching everyone, everywhere, every day for fund-raising purposes, but it also provides other benefits which supplement our efforts to meet people's needs.

Letter carriers, for example, periodically collect food donations on their routes and are a daily lifeline to the house bound, elderly

or infirm. Our community seeks support from the public and provides support to the needy.

We must have a reasonably priced, unobtrusive and secure way to reach every household. Absent the current level of service and reach of the U.S. Postal Service, I'm not sure how we could fill that void.

Finally, I would be remiss if I didn't mention the threat to universal service in its current form or any change that the future may hold would be ill-conceived, do not mail -- the do not mail regulations, and, finally -- I'm sorry, the do not mail initiatives that are bubbling up around the country.

The alleged environmental impact of mail, which I believe is grossly overstated, let's put that aside for the moment. A do not mail registry would wreck havoc with non-profits, even if exempt, since our rates are tied to the commercial mail rates. If commercial volume spirals down as a result of do not mail, our rates would spiral up, and then our means of reaching the people and helping people would spiral down also.

Do not mail would spoil even the best, most analytically correct notion of the universal

1 | service.

Thank you for inviting me to testify here today. As a participant, I'm happy to see the breadth and depth of the questions being raised. I remain hopeful that the decisions made and the ultimate definition of the USO retains the services needed by the non-profit community in order to assure they continue to raise money to support their initiatives.

We cannot survive without a healthy and thriving United States Postal Service for many decades to come, and I stand by ready to help continue to support any effort to reshape its future. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you very much. I want to start out with the big picture question.

Mr. Powell, you talked about the virtual -- basically, a virtual post office and, Ms. Pritchard, you talked about the Postal Service's mission of binding the nation together and binding people together, and then finally Mr. Panos touched on an issue today which is the do not mail.

How do these three issues intersect in our efforts to define universal service and the universal service obligation?

MS. PRITCHARD: I do mention that in here,

and that's why I was so thrilled to hear that. These overlaps are awesome. One of the things driving the do not mail push is -- it's in here. People want the illusion of being able to control -- they want to be in touch with everybody else, but they want to control who gets in touch with them, and I don't really give a good answer in there, but I do raise it as an issue. I think you've got some really exciting options along those lines.

2.0

MR. POWELL: Well, you raised questions about intersecting with policy and the market, so we can sit with the policy maker's hat on or a voter's hat, or we can sit and try to predict the market. I find myself flipping back and forth no matter how hard I try to speak consistent.

I think that the social function of the Postal Service is an important one. I think it would be a series of minor tragedies in every small town if they lost their local post office. So there is a function for that.

How it is paid for, whether it is by additional taxes or by additional revenue is where the market side comes in. If the Postal Service can begin to offer things that people are willing to pay for, particularly the people who today don't give the

Postal Service anything to mail a transaction, the recipient. The Postal Service makes mail off the senders, makes money off the senders of mail, so as I mentioned earlier, if the Postal Service is really to do universal service, it certainly helps that it's covering its costs and then some, rather than simply having lost leaders in the rural areas.

1.5

But unlike in the business context, a lost leader doesn't lead to something that is more profitable. They need to have things that are more profitable to pay for the obligation, and I guess my last thought on that is if you define access as the ability to get paper, you're going to prejudice and limit your inquiry from the start.

If you define access as what we often want is access to information and we can choose how it arrives, it may have gotten to the post office just 20 miles away, but at that point, perhaps I can say, you know what, I would like to see that opening scanned, and I would like to apply a digital signature because I don't have a printer or fax machine at my home, I just have a laptop, everyone is better off.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Mr. Panos, do you want to comment?

MR. PANOS: Like the others, I have it also

in my presentation, but I'm trying to connect all of this together. We have so many avenues with which people give to Food for the Hungry and to non-profit organizations, the do not mail sounds like the restriction, for instance, to not be able to prospect for new donors since they didn't know who we were before we got into their mailbox.

Some of our best donors -- in fact, our top two donors, came to us through non-profit direct mail prospecting, millions of dollars that have gone to helping people around the world. The do not mail registry, as an example, would restrict my ability to bring similar people to Food for the Hungry in the future. So, obviously, I would be quite against the do not mail registry.

Supposing that it went the same way that the do not call registry went where non-profits were exempt, again, the for-profits would wind up being restricted, and the cost in order to support the entire mail system would go up for everybody and non-profits would be affected the way I described in my presentation.

So in pulling all of that together, I don't see a link between all three all the time, but in the case of how they link into the do not mail

registry, the access has to happen and affordability has to happen, and the ability for any one of us to be able to mail to people in the United States at any address has to be available.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: How does this impact on universal service in defining this, and what would be the long-term effects of something like that, and how would -- and the second question would be, how would Earth Mail treat one of your solicitations? How would the center treat that, and so why don't we go with that first one, as how does this impact -- how would do not mail impact universal service?

MS. PRITCHARD: It would certainly decrease the number of Postal Service jobs, I would expect, because there wouldn't be anywhere near as much mail, for one thing. Let me let you answer the question that he asked you.

MR. POWELL: I'll try. To go back to my earlier point, by reducing revenue and reducing the number of people having to deliver and perhaps even post offices, it could have an impact on the ability of the Postal Service to deliver, but that's why -- when I speak about this, I do try to stress that, you know, the hybrid nature of the way forward, that the Postal Service offers many choices.

For example, you could say that certain types of mail streams could be entirely excluded from Earth Class Mail of that type system. Not one of our customers wants us receiving their Net-Flix DVDs, because they can't pay us enough to watch them for them, and they don't want to send us their magazines and they don't want to send us their catalogs and I think they wouldn't want to send us even the shortest newsletter, because you have a couple choices.

You can forward it, which may cost extra, and you can scan it, and scanning more than a few pages runs into costs. So you could exclude the mail stream entirely. You could also say that the outside of it gets scanned, but it's more of a notification, here is what you have in your P.O. Box, you have a package, you have a solicitation. There's something here, why don't you drive down and get it, or you could have us forward it to you, or whatever, just to let you know.

There are a number of different ways to do it. But, again, the Postal Service has a platform, and if it has people going to their Outlook or a secure website, the Postal Service can begin to offer other types of advertising and it could begin with the scanned letter. There could be digital links and

videos and ads that are very powerful as Google is starting to show with Utube.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is no reason that a brand name like the U.S. Postal Service couldn't compete with the likes of Google, and, frankly, do it more effectively because of its ability to push advertising. has to wait for you to type something in. The Postal Service could scale. They can say, "We're not going to wait, we're going to hit five million people an hour for the next 10 days. That's what we want to do, have a major campaign, we'll test it, do A/B splits and see what is more effective, but we could reach people. We can give them a coffee ad in the morning and a wine ad in the evening. We can do time of day charging." We could get very sophisticated when you start to let people interact with what's coming in to them. You could let them opt in to things they currently they don't get.

MS. PRITCHARD: As exciting as that is, and I think there's very much room for that, what I've been finding, and correct if I'm wrong, I think what you've been finding, too, is that there is a place for the internet, but I've been finding there is an awful lot of people that don't want to have to go to the internet for everything. They want to have something

in their hand. They want to have something to think about.

They want to have an opportunity to look at it, and if they don't want the opportunity to get it, they throw it away or put it in the recycling bin. So it's not as intrusive as a telephone call, which means you get up to answer it or take it out of your bag to answer it.

What I suggest on page 15, when you get to it, is to take a look at the reasoning and the needs and the thoughts that are driving this do not mail push and see what they're really asking for there and let that inform you as you consider universal service.

I cited an article by Mike Crutelli, the executive chairman at Pitney Bowes on do not mail. It is available online, and one of the key points that he puts in his article, his last line, is to look at the argument that people are giving there about what is valuable about mail and what is inappropriate. He says they're right on target and we ignore those at our peril.

So coming at that question from the point of view of what are the names that are driving that push, see if there is another way to honor those

1	needs while still honoring also the value that mail
2	brings to people, without the do not mail option
3	having to be acted upon, since their needs have
4	already been met in other directions. Thanks.
5	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you.
6	Commissioner Acton?
7	COMMISSIONER ACTON: Ms. Pritchard, I think
8	you just valued John Campbell's trip here from
9	Washington on behalf of Pitney Bowes, so I'll
10	introduce the two of you later.
11	MS. PRITCHARD: That's why I was so
12	thrilled.
13	COMMISSIONER ACTON: I have a question for
14	Mr. Powell. How do you process a catalog for
15	presentation?
16	MR. POWELL: It depends on the customer's
17	request. They might ask that it not be run through
18	the system at all and just be delivered to their home
19	or to their desk in a private enterprise context, or
20	as I mentioned, we may just scan the front and back of
21	it to let them know it's there.
22	COMMISSIONER ACTON: And if I want to see
23	the contents?
24	MR. POWELL: Well, you would probably want
25	to have it forwarded to you, unless you really, really

wanted to see a certain page, and you might have it scanned in full color, but it's expensive, so it wouldn't be practical.

COMMISSIONER ACTON: You mentioned some progressive overseas posts that may be looking at your business plan. Are you actively discussing it with some of them?

MR. POWELL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ACTON: Are you able to tell us which?

MR. POWELL: Yeah, I don't think it's too top secret. Starting probably about two years ago, we began to be contacted by some of the European posts who saw what we were doing. The first was the Dutch post, TNT, the post of France. We're -- it's now exploded to about a dozen and a half, most in Europe, but also in the Middle East, Canada and New Zealand which has large rural populations that they're struggling with.

So our CEO, as I speak, is in Europe now at an international mail conference speaking with many of the posts who are from Europe and also there, and then doing sort of a tour of the capitals that are there to talk to us who we have already been in discussions with and started to work on pilot

1	proposals.
2	COMMISSIONER ACTON: Did you say that they
3	have reached out to you?
4	MR. POWELL: Some of them have.
5	COMMISSIONER ACTON: And do you have a
6	dialog with the Postal Service?
7	MR. POWELL: No.
8	COMMISSIONER ACTON: I have one question
9	thanks, Mr. Powell. I have one question for
10	Mr. Panos. On the do not mail front, your
11	organization is probably affiliated perhaps with the
12	Alliance of Non-Profit Mailers. Do you know if
13	they're active in terms of any efforts to counter
14	what's happening on the do not mail legislation?
15	MR. PANOS: Well, it seems that right now
16	it's state by state, so I do not know what the actual
17	strategies are state by state.
18	COMMISSIONER ACTON: Well, the reason I ask
19	that is you folks and that group are a very compelling
20	sort of alliance, and if it's an issue that's some
21	priority for you, I would encourage you to speak with
22	Conway about making sure he's involved with the
23	for-profit business interest efforts to counter do not
24	mail.
25	MR. PANOS: The Direct Marketing

Association's non-profit federation makes up about 10 1 percent of the membership of overall DMA, so we 2 actually are subordinate to the DMA and they are 3 helping us with the do not mail issue. They actually 4 5 have guite a bit more to lose in this fight than the non-profit federation and so we're following on their 6 coattails and they're getting more data every day than 7 8 we are. COMMISSIONER ACTON: Mail moves America. 9 10 MR. PANOS: Exactly. COMMISSIONER ACTON: Thanks again. 11 CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Commissioner Goldway? 12 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: I'm not advocating 13 this position, necessarily, but I think it's fair to 14 15 bring this up and to get a response to it. Ms. Pritchard, you pointed out the fact that people 16 feel they want to control the mail they receive, that 17 that's important to them. I think what we in the 18 industry talk about increasing the value of mail that 19 is received, so people get the mail they want. 20 For organizations such as yours and 21 Mr. Panos', it seems to me it may, in fact, be 22 beneficial for you to feel that the mail that is being 23 delivered to somebody's home is mail that that person 24 feels that he or she wants, and they're more likely to

25

open it, that meaning less mail, but it may mean mail that is, in fact, really important to read.

The question is should a mail stream that is only the mail that people want, be supported by advertising mail or advertising of any kind such as Mr. Powell is suggesting in his electronic opportunities?

Or, should it be something that is subsidized in some way because it is a social service and a universal service obligation and should it be paid for in some other way? And that's one of the questions that we are here to explore and to consider, and, as I say, I'm not necessarily advocating it, but we have heard from other non-profits or small mailers that they feel they need lower rates than they get, even if the cost of delivering the mail is what it is in the system.

They feel they deserve some subsidies for it because it is important to bind the nation together. So those are issues that we need to contemplate when we consider this very big picture issue, and I wonder if you have any comments now or can hopefully make some later.

MS. PRITCHARD: The first point that you had, whether the mail is going to people that really

want it, the whole reason that I got involved in any of this with the Post Office and the studies that we did was I kept hearing from people who wanted it and weren't getting it, or were wanting it and getting it long after the fact.

Network goes, it is exactly the reverse. People were anxious and upset that they weren't getting it in time. I cite the situation where somebody found out about a sale or an event and they only received the thing two weeks after it happened, and how frustrating that was, because we had actually mailed it three weeks before it had happened and they didn't get it until the end. So that's what started everything with my involvement with the Postal Service.

The second thing you asked was people wanting to control mail that gets to them. My point was actually broader than that. It is a notion that people have that they want to control anyone connecting to them. It's a their place in the world kind of a question. It's not really specific just to mail.

You see the same principle in terms of the do not call. Although that one is more intrusive because it makes you respond to something that is

demanding you respond right now, whereas mail does not have that.

Mail has much more of a convenience factor. I have a post office box for the Flute Network. I control that in a large way by when I go to get it, so I do have that bit of control. I also very much appreciate getting the mail at my house, and I live in a place where that is available.

My intuition from everything I've have ever investigated related to this question is that people love getting mail, even the circulars, even the bills. For some, dealing with those undesirables is a cathartic experience for them. They can't yell back at their boss, but, boy, they can take it out on that piece of mail they didn't want.

There are ways that people use the mail in their lives. The do not mail -- as I'm going back to this article that I cited, really explores some of those questions behind that question.

And by getting a handle on those questions that are behind that question, there may be a better way to deal with that, which renders that do not mail question null and void by effect, and that is it. I'm sorry. I forgot. What was the next question.

1 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: That's fine. 2 MS. PRITCHARD: You know where to find me. 3 COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: And I still have all 4 of this to read. I wanted to answer, as well. 5 Ι MR. PANOS: 6 believe there is a self-policing involved in mail 7 volume. First off, if people don't answer our direct 8 mail solicitation for the very first gift to introduce 9 our organization to them, we don't mail them again. 10 They don't get a second piece of mail, "Gee, you 11 missed the first one." They're out. We only then 12 move forward with those that have donated to us. 13 Second of all, those who donate to us, 14 we have a mail stream of cultivation mailings upwards of 33, 34 mailings that someone could get if they 15 16 wanted to, but because technology is so good today, we've actually reduced from 34 in 2002, to 25 in 2004, 17 18 to 18 in 2006, to 16 in 2008, the number of mailings that the average person gets in the Food for Hunger's 19 database in order to get gifts from them, and they 20 21 average giving us four to five gifts per year. 22 So we're at a point now where literally one-third of our mailings are successful at retrieving 23 gifts for the homeless and the helpless of our world. 24

The efficiency is reducing the amount of mail right

25

1 away.

2.0

Second of all, I wanted to make another point, and that is we're part of -- and many other organizations are that work with and as part of child sponsorship programs. We won't be emailing those kids in foreign countries any time soon.

I've hand carried those letters purposely, not because the mail couldn't get them there, but because I wanted to bring some letters from people I know that sponsor children, and I can tell you, the looks on their face are unbelievable, but what may be just as incredible, is when we get mail back in the Phoenix office from children around the world and we forward them on to the sponsors in the United States. The looks on the sponsors' faces are just as incredible, and I would never want to rob them of that.

COMMISSIONER GOLDWAY: That's a nice way to end this program, a real strong advocacy for the mail that you've offered.

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Thank you, Commissioner Goldway. Commissioner Hammond?

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Do you need the rest of the time?

CHAIRMAN BLAIR: You have five minutes all

to yourself, and more, unless we get kicked out.

almost running out of time, but I did just want to follow-up quickly with Mr. Panos on one thing that you said in your testimony and during your oral testimony, too, where you said giving through the mail is the most secure way of giving or perceived to be the most secure way of giving, and years ago I used to be a non-profit direct mailer for something that's not as important an issue that you're doing, but we found that was the perception, also, whether it was through surveys or whatever, that that was how people perceived that, as the most secure way of giving.

Do you mention that in relation to the monopoly currently that is there, and if that monopoly were not there any longer, that the value of the mail would go down, and that that could alter people's perception? Is that what your -- why you bring that up?

MR. PANOS: It is. It is directly related to the government actually being in charge of mail and the mail monopoly. As I stated in there, I wouldn't want to see private mailers be in charge of the mailbox.

COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: And just to make one

1	other point, when you're talking about non-profit
2	direct mail, so many people assume that all you're
3	doing is putting out fundraising solicitations, but
4	you use the mail for information and additional
5	services and everything. It's not everything you do
6	is sending out a fundraising letter all the time.
7	Correct?
8	MR. PANOS: That's correct. We have
9	newsletters and magazines, and so forth, and those
10	are people beg us for that information, because
11	they want to see how their donations worked around the
12	world. So our report back is mostly through the mail,
13	as well.
14	COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: Okay. I'm limiting
15	my questions. Go ahead.
16	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: You have two more minutes.
17	COMMISSIONER HAMMOND: No. Go ahead.
18	CHAIRMAN BLAIR: Well, with that, I think we
19	got exactly what we needed from both our panels today.
20	I couldn't imagine going into this, we've had such
21	a widely varied discussion, and I think it's really
22	provided us with the requisite background as we
23	undertake this study. So on behalf of the Commission,
24	thank you very much.

25

If there's anything that you wish to

supplement your testimony with, please send it to us. But, again, thank you for the very thoughtful and thought-provoking pieces that you put before us today. And with that, the hearing is now concluded. Thank you. (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 3:56 o'clock p.m.)

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION
7	
8	I, LERRYN HORTON ROBERDS, RPR, Arizona
9	Certified Court Reporter, Certificate No. 50400,
10	having been first duly appointed as Official Court
11	Reporter herein, do hereby certify that the foregoing
12	pages numbered 2 to 95, inclusive, constitute a full,
13	true, and accurate transcript of the proceedings had
14	in the above-entitled matter, all done to the best of
15	my skill and ability.
16	DATED this 13th day of June, 2008.
17	
18	
19	1 At MI
20	Jeun Golow Toller
	Lethryn Horton Roberds, RPR
21	Arizona Certified Court Reporter
	Certificate No. 50400
22	
23	
24	
25	